## Measure Theory with Ergodic Horizons Lecture 17

Pape let 
$$f,g \in L^{\epsilon}(X, p)$$
.  
(a) If  $f \leq g$  then  $\int f dp \leq \int g dp$ .  
(b)  $\int a \cdot f dp = a \cdot \int f dp$  for all  $a \in (0, \infty)$ .  
(c)  $\int f dp = 0$  if and only if  $f = 0$  a.e.  
Proof. (c).  $c = .$  If  $f = 0$  a.e. then every non-negative simple function  $s \leq f$  also has to  
be  $0 \text{ a.e.}$ , so  $\int s dp = 0$ , hence  $\int f dp = 0$ .  
 $\Longrightarrow$  Since  $X_0 = \int x \in X: f(x) > 0 \int = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ , where  $X_n := \{x \in X: f(x) > t\},$   
 $c \in \mathbb{N}$  subadditivity implies but if  $f(x_0) > 0$ , then  $p(X_n) > 0$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .  
But then the simple function  $S := \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{1 \times n} \leq f$  hence  $\int f dp \geq \int s dp = \frac{1}{n} \cdot p(X_n) > 0$ .

Next 
$$\forall \Sigma : D$$
,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-\Sigma) s dy$  for some  $u \in [N.$   
To Nois end, note that for end  $x \in X = 3 u \in [N]$  such that  $f_n(K) \ge (1-2) \cdot s$ , thus  
 $X = \bigcup X_n$ , where  $X_u := \{x \in X : f_n(K) > (1-\Sigma) \cdot s\}$ . By part (a) above,  
 $n \in [N]$   
 $\int f_n d\mu \ge \int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-\Sigma) \cdot s d\mu = \int_{K \in S} (X_n)$   
But  $f_{(n+1)S} [X_n] \nearrow f_{(n-1)S} [X] = \int ((-S) \cdot s d\mu$  by the wood-onicity of the measure  $f_{(n+1)S}$ .  
so  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-S) \cdot s d\mu$ .  
 $\int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-S) \cdot s d\mu$ .  
 $\int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-S) \cdot s d\mu$ .  
 $\int \int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-S) \cdot s d\mu$ .  
 $\int \int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-S) \cdot s d\mu$ .  
 $\int \int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-S) \cdot s d\mu$ .  
 $\int \int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-S) \cdot s d\mu$ .  
 $\int \int f_n d\mu \ge \int (1-S) \cdot s d\mu$ .  
 $\int \int f_n d\mu \ge \int f_n d\mu + \int g d\mu$ .  
 $\int \int f_n d\mu \ge \int f_n d\mu + \int f_n d\mu +$ 

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}\in\{N\}} f_n d\mu = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{N=N} f_n d\mu = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n \in N} \int_{N=0}^{\infty} \int_{n \in N} f_n d\mu = \sum_{n \in N} \int_{n \infty} \int_{n$$

$$f_{f}(B) := \int_{B} f \, d\mu := \int f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B} \, d\mu$$
by ends processive ble if  $B \leq X$ .
Read processive ble if  $B \leq X$ .
Read Sime obviously  $p_{f}(B) = 0$ , we only used to show dot addictivity. Lef
$$B = 11 B \text{ is a pertition of a processivable of  $B$  into processive ble
and  $B = 0$ . We need to show  $p_{f}(B) = \sum_{n \in V} p_{f}(B_{n})$ . But
$$\sum_{n \in V} y_{f}(B_{n}) - \sum_{n \in V} \int f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}} \, d\mu = \int \sum_{n \in V} f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_$$$$

Example (d deiet inequality in Fatou's lema). Let 
$$(X, p) := (R, \lambda)$$
.  
(a) Let for :=  $\int_{(r_1, r_1)}$ , have for  $\rightarrow 0$  pointwise, but for  $d\lambda = 1$  for all used).  
(a) Let for :=  $\int_{(r_1, r_1)}$ , have for  $\rightarrow 0$  pointwise, but for  $d\lambda = \infty$  for all now.  
(a) Let for :=  $\int_{(r_1, r_2)}$ , then for  $\rightarrow 0$  pointwise, but for  $d\lambda = n$  for all now.  
(a) Let for :=  $\int_{(r_1, r_2)}$ , then for  $\rightarrow 0$  pointwise, but for  $d\lambda = n$  for all now.  
(a) Let for :=  $\int_{(r_1, r_2)}$ , then for  $\rightarrow 0$  pointwise, but for  $d\lambda = n$  for all now.  
(b) Let for :=  $n \int_{(r_1, r_2)}$ , much for a pointwise, here for  $d\lambda = n$  for all now.  
(b) Let for :=  $n \int_{(r_1, r_2)}$ , much for  $d$  pointwise, here for  $d\lambda = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
(b) Let for :=  $n \int_{(r_1, r_2)}$ , much for  $d$  bet  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda = n^2 + n \to \infty$ .  
(c) Let for :=  $n^2 \int_{(r_1, r_2)}^{\infty}$ , much for  $d$  bet  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda = n^2 + n \to \infty$ .  
(d) Let for :=  $n^2 \int_{(r_1, r_2)}^{\infty}$ , much for  $d$  bet  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda = n^2 + n \to \infty$ .  
Def A promeosure close for for for  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is called prively colle if  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mu = 0$ .  
The integral of each for  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is called prively colle if  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mu = 0$ .  
The integral of each for  $f$  defined to be for a prive for  $h$  for  $h$ .  
Note that  $L'(X,p)$  is a vector space and we matrix it into a privelow model.

Discretion. II IIs is indeed a pseudo-norm on 
$$L'(X, \mu)$$
, i.e. for all  $f, g \in L'(X, \mu)$ :  
(i)  $\|f\|_{1, z} = 0$  and  $\|f\|_{1, z} = 0 < z \le f = 0$  a.e. (this a.e. is the it's not a norm).  
(ii)  $\|df\|_{1, z} = |d| \cdot \|f\|_{1, z}$  for all  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
(iii) Triangle inequality:  $\|feg\|_{1, z} \le \|f\|_{1, z} + \|g\|_{1, z}$ .  
Proof. For (iii), note that  $\|feg\|_{1, z} = \int |feg| d\mu \in \int (|f| + |g|) d\mu = \int |f| d\mu + \int |g| d\mu = \|f\|_{1, z} \|g\|_{1, z}$ .  
This pseudo-norm also defines a metric on  $L'(X, \mu)$  by  
 $d_{1, z}(f_{1, z}) := \|f-g\|_{1, z}$ .  
making  $L'(X, \mu)$  indo a pseudo-metric space. Thus, if makes sense ho say  
that a securice  $(f_{1, z}) \in L'(X, \mu)$  converges in the yseudo-norm  $\|f_{1, z}\|_{1, z}$  to be